

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISCIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Back 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Aww.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,291	06/27/2003	Thomas Patrick Jackson	491442001600	7894	
42178	7590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
EMULEX DESIGN & MANUFACTURING CORPORATION C/O MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 555 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 3500			KIM, HAROLD J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LES, CA 90013		2181		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/609,291		JACKSON ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Harold Kim	2182		

	Harold Kim	2182					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No.							
13. Other:							
·	SUPERVIS	. KIM HUYNH ORY PATENT, EXA	n/ita i ====				
	-	1/0/00					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Part of Paper No. 20060108

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The interpretaion of claims by Examiner is different from Applicant. Kamel et al. shows the limitation of "preventing an initiation of a new write data command until pending read data requests have been processed enough to free up sufficient blocks in the buffer pool to accommodate the data of the new write data command" in 114, figure 5B; col 6, lines 43-52; col 8, lines 40-41. Assign Artificial Deadline 114, figure 5B does not initiate until there is empty queue.